arXiv:math/0107030v3 [math.SG] 6 Jul 2001

# MULTIPLE QUANTUM PRODUCTS IN TORIC VARIETIES

HOLGER SPIELBERG

Abstract. We generalize the author's formula for Gromov–Witten invariants of symplectic toric manifolds (see [Spi99a], [Spi99b]) to those needed to compute the quantum product of more than two classes directly, i.e. involving the pull–back of the Poincaré dual of the point class in the Deligne–Mumford spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $(X,\omega)$ be a symplectic manifold with compatible almost–complex structure $J$. If $g$ and $m$ are non–negative integers, we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$ the Deligne–Mumford space of genus–$g$ curves with $m$ marked points. If furthermore $A \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ denotes a degree–2 homology class of $X$, $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(X,A)$ will be the space of stable genus–$g$ $J$–holomorphic maps to $X$ with homology class $A$. The Gromov–Witten invariants of $X$ (see for example [RT95], [Beh97]) are multi–linear maps

$$\Phi^{A,X}_{g,m} : H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m},\mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

that are defined as follows. Let $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{g,m}(X,A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$ be the natural projection map forgetting the map to X (and stabilizing the curve if necessary). Furthermore, let $\mathrm{ev}_i : \mathcal{M}_{g,m}(X,A) \longrightarrow X$ be the evaluation map at the $i^{th}$ marked point, that is the map that sends a stable map $(C;x_1,\dots,x_m;f)$ to $f(x_i) \in X$. Then for classes $\beta \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m},\mathbb{Q})$ and $\alpha_i \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})$, the Gromov–Witten invariants are defined by

$$\Phi^{A,X}_{g,m}(\beta;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m) = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(X,A)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} \pi^*(\beta) \wedge \mathrm{ev}_1(\alpha_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_m(\alpha_m). \tag{1}$$

Here the integration on the right hand side is not over the entire moduli space but over the so-called virtual fundamental class.

For the case of $(X,J)$ being a smooth projective variety with a $(\mathbb{C}^*)$–action, Graber and Pandharipande have proven (see [GP99]) that Bott–style localization techniques apply to the integral in (1). Their techniques can easily be extended to torus actions, so in particular they apply to smooth projective toric varieties (for toric varieties see for example [Ful93], [Oda88]).

In [Spi99a] (also see [Spi99b]), using these localisation techniques for the virtual fundamental class, we have proven an explicit combinatorial formula of the genus–0 Gromov–Witten invariants for smooth projective toric varieties for the cases when $\beta = 1 \in H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m},\mathbb{Q})$. In this note we will derive a similar formula for the case where the class $\beta$ is the maximal product of (the Chern class of) cotangent lines to the marked points, that is for those classes $\beta$ which are Poincaré dual to a finite number of points in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$.

---

*Date*: 1st February 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D45, 14N35.

*Key words and phrases.* Gromov–Witten invariants, quantum cohomology, toric manifolds, localization, stable maps.

Knowing the Gromov–Witten invariants for $\beta = \mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}$ makes computations of products in the (small) quantum cohomology ring easier. For two cohomology classes $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$, their quantum product is defined to be

$$\gamma_1 \star \gamma_2 = \sum_{A \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_i \Phi_{0,3}^{X,A}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_i) \delta_i^\vee q^A$$

where the inner sum runs over a basis $(\delta_i)$ of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Here $(\delta_i^\vee)$ denotes the basis of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ dual to $(\delta_i)$. It is easy to show that the product of more than two classes is given by

$$\gamma_1 \star \cdots \star \gamma_r = \sum_{A \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_i \Phi_{0,r+1}^{X,A}(\mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r, \delta_i) \delta_i^\vee q^A \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}$ denotes the Poincaré dual of a point in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,r+1}$. By Witten's conjecture (see [Wit91], proven by Kontsevich in [Kon92]), we know that (a multiple of) the class $\mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}$ in $H^*(\overline{M}_{0,r+1})$ can be expressed as the product of cotangent line classes, hence the invariants in (2) can be computed directly by the formula proposed in this note.

The techniques used in this note also yield the invariants for $\beta$ being a different product of Chern classes of such cotangent line bundles. However, it seems to be much more to difficult to formalize such a more general approach. For the sake of a (hopefully) better exposition of the key ideas, we leave the more general case to the interested reader.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we recall some results on toric varieties, mostly to fix our notation. In Section 3 we quickly describe the fixed point components of $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ with respect to the action induced from $X_\Sigma$. In Section 4 we recall the localization results for toric varieties, to apply them in Section 5 to the case where $\beta = \mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}$. In Section 6 we finally give the formula for the Gromov–Witten invariants for symplectic toric manifolds in this case, and in Section 7 we illustrate the formula on the example of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$; as an interesting byproduct, we derive the quantum cohomology ring of this variety (also using recent results of [CMR01]), which surprisingly coincides with Batyrev's ring stated in [Bat93].

## 2. Preliminaries of toric varieties

We will quickly recall some facts about toric varieties and mostly introduce our notation — our standard references for this section are [Bat93], [Ful93] and [Oda88].

Let $X_\Sigma$ be a smooth projective toric variety of complex dimension $d$, given by the fan $\Sigma$. Choose a class $\omega$ in the Kähler cone of $X_\Sigma$, and let $\Delta_\omega$ be the corresponding moment polytope. On the variety $X_\Sigma$, the $d$-dimensional torus $T_N := (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ acts effectively, and the (irreducible) subvarieties of $X_\Sigma$ that are left invariant under this action are in one–to–one correspondence with the facets of the polytope $\Delta_\omega$. Moreover, the $T_N$–invariant divisors (which are in one–to–one correspondence to faces of $\Delta_\omega$) generate the cohomology ring $H^*(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ of $X_\Sigma$ — we will denote the faces of $\Delta_\omega$ by $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$. We also remind the reader, that the relations between these divisors in the cohomology ring are given by the combinatorics of $\Delta_\omega$ or equivalently, by that of the fan $\Sigma$. For (higher–degree) cohomology classes we will sometimes use multi–index notation, i. e. $Z^l$ expands to $Z_1^{l_1} \cdots Z_n^{l_n}$.

We will be using the weights of the torus action on the tangent bundle at fixed points of $X_\Sigma$. The vertices of $\Delta_\omega$ are in one–to–one correspondence with these fixed points, and we will usually denote these vertices by the greek letter $\sigma$. For any vertex $\sigma$, there are exactly $d$ edges $e_1, \dots, e_d$ in $\Delta_\omega$ that meet at $\sigma$. Each edge of the polytope $\Delta_\omega$ correspondents to $T_N$–invariant $\mathbb{CP}^1$ in $X_\Sigma$. Then the tangent space $T_\sigma X_\Sigma$ at $\sigma$ $T_N$-invariantly splits into the tangent lines along these subvarieties. If we denote by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ the vertices that are connected by the edges $e_1, \dots, e_d$ to $\sigma$, we will denote by $\omega^{\sigma}_{\sigma_i}$ the weight of the $T_N$–action on $T_\sigma X_\Sigma$ into the direction of $e_i$.

When referring to a degree–2 homology class $\lambda \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$, we will usually give its intersection vector $(\lambda_i)_{i=1,\dots n}$ with the divisor classes $Z_i$, that is $\lambda_i := \langle Z_i, \lambda \rangle$. Note however, that the $\lambda_i$ have to satisfy certain linear relations to represent a degree–2 homology class. In fact we have that $\dim H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \dim H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = n - d$.

## 3. Fixed–point components of the induced action on $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$

Remember that an element of $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ is (up to isomorphisms) a tuple $(C; x_1, \dots, x_m; f)$ where $C$ is an algebraic curve of genus zero with singularities at most ordinary double points, $x_i \in C_{\text{smooth}}$ are marked points, and $f : C \longrightarrow X_\Sigma$ is the map to the variety $X_\Sigma$. The $T_N$–action on $X_\Sigma$ then induces an action of $T_N$ on $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ by simple composition with the map $f$, that is $t \cdot (C; x_1, \dots, x_m; f) = (C; x_1, \dots, x_m; t \circ f)$, where

$$t \circ f : C \xrightarrow{f} X_\Sigma \xrightarrow{\varphi_t} X_\Sigma$$

is the composition of $f$ with the diffeomorphism $\varphi_t$ given by the action of $t$ on $X_\Sigma$.

It is then easy to see (cf. [Spi99a]), that the image of a fixed point $(C; \underline{x}; f) \in \mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ must be left invariant by the $T_N$–action, or in other words, it has to live on the 1–skeleton of $\Delta_\omega$. Moreover, the marked points $x_i$ of such a stable map will have to be mapped to fixed points $\sigma_i$ in $X_\Sigma$. The fixed-point components of $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ can then be characterized by so–called $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$-graphs $\Gamma$ (see [Spi99a, Definition 6.4])—these are graphs on the 1–skeleton on $\Delta_\omega$, without loops, with decorations representing the position of the marked points and the multiplicities of the map to $X_\Sigma$ on the irreducible components. If $\Gamma$ is such a graph, we will usually denote by $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ the product of Deligne–Mumford spaces corresponding to the graph $\Gamma$, which is up to a finite automorphism group isomorphic to the fixed–point component in $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ corresponding to $\Gamma$.

## 4. Localization for the genus-0 GW invariants of toric varieties

In the setup described in the previous section, Graber and Pandharipande's virtual localization formula applies: if $V$ is an equivariant vector bundle on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ then

$$\int\limits_{[\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma,A)]^{\text{virt}}} e^{T_N}(V) = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\iota_* e^{T_N}(V|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma})}{e^{T_N}(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma^{\text{virt}})} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\Gamma$ is the so–called virtual normal bundle to $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ and $e^{T_N}$ denotes the equivariant Euler class. Since each $\operatorname{ev}_i^*(Z_j)$ is equal to the (standard) Euler class of an equivariant line bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$, we obtain

$$\Phi_{0,m}^{X_\Sigma, A}(Z^{l_1}, \dots, Z^{l_m}) = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}_\Gamma|} \int\limits_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(\omega_k^{\sigma(j)}\right)^{l_{j,k}}}{e^{T_N}(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma^{\text{virt}})} \tag{4}$$

where $\omega_k^{\sigma(j)}$ is the following weight: Suppose $\sigma(j) = Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_d}$ with $i_l \neq i_{l'}$ whenever $l \neq l'$. If $k \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_d\}$ then $\omega_k^{\sigma(j)} := 0$. Otherwise suppose (w.l.o.g.) $i_d = k$ and let $\hat{\sigma}(j)$ be the unique vertex given by $\hat{\sigma}(j) = Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_{d-1}} Z_{i_{d+1}}$ such that $i_{d+1} \neq i_l$ for all $l$. Then $\omega_k^{\sigma(j)} := \omega_{\hat{\sigma}(j)}^{\sigma(j)}$.

By a careful analysis of the virtual normal bundle (see [Spi99a, Theorem 7.2]) we can compute its equivariant Euler class — before we will give its formula here, let us fix some notation. For an edge $e \in \mathrm{Edge}(\Gamma)$ define

$$\Lambda_\Gamma(e) := \frac{(-1)^h h^{2h}}{(h!)^2 (w^\sigma_{\sigma_d})^{2h}} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\prod\limits_{k=\lambda_{i_j}+1}^{-1} \left(\omega^\sigma_{\sigma_j} - \frac{k}{h} \cdot \omega^\sigma_{\sigma_d}\right)}{\prod\limits_{k=0}^{\lambda_{i_j}} \left(\omega^\sigma_{\sigma_j} - \frac{k}{h} \cdot \omega^\sigma_{\sigma_d}\right)}.$$

In this formula, we use the following notation: The edge $e$ connects the two fixed points $\sigma$ and $\sigma_d$ with multiplicity $h$. The indices $i_j$ and $\hat{i}_j$ are chosen pair–wise different such that $\sigma = Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_d}$ and $\sigma_j = Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{\hat{i}_j} \cdots Z_{i_d}$ ($Z_{i_j}$ is replaced by $Z_{\hat{i}_j}$). The homology class of the edge $e$ is given by $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, in particular $\lambda_{i_j} = e \cdot Z_{i_j}$.

Furthermore let $\mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)$ be the set of vertices $v$ in the graph $\Gamma$ with $t$ outgoing edges and $s$ marked points. For such a vertex $v \in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)$ we define $\mathrm{val}(v) := t$, $\deg(v) := s + t$, and the class

$$\omega(v) := \begin{cases} \omega_{F(v)} & \text{if } t = 1 \text{ and } s = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } t = s = 1 \\ (\omega_{F_1(v)} + \omega_{F_2(v)})^{-1} & \text{if } t = 2 \text{ and } s = 0 \\ \left((\omega_{F_1(v)} - e_{F_1(v)}) \cdots (\omega_{F_t(v)} - e_{F_t(v)})\right)^{-1} & \text{if } t + s \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Here the $e_F$ are Euler classes of universal cotangent lines to marked points of $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma = \prod_{v \in \mathrm{Vert}(\Gamma)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\deg(v)}$, and the indices (from 1 through $t$, for $\mathrm{val}(v) = t$) refer to the different edges leaving the vertex $v$.

**Proposition 1** ([Spi99a])**.** *With this notation, the inverse of the equivariant Euler class of the virtual normal bundle has the following expression:*

$$e^{T_N}(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma)^{-1} = \left[\prod_{t,s} \prod_{v \in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)} \left(\omega^{\sigma(v)}_{total}\right)^{t-1} \cdot \omega(v)\right] \cdot \prod_{e \in \mathrm{Edge}(\Gamma)} \Lambda_\Gamma(e).$$

**Proposition 2** ([Spi99b, Spi00])**.** *The integral over $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$ of the invers of the equivariant Euler class of the virtual normal bundle equals*

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma} e^{T_N}(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma)^{-1} = \left[\prod_{t,s} \prod_{v \in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)} \frac{\left(\omega^{\sigma(v)}_{total}\right)^{t-1} \cdot \left(\omega^{F(v)}_{total}\right)^{t+s-3}}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \omega_{F_i(v)}}\right] \prod_{e \in \mathrm{Edge}(\Gamma)} \Lambda_\Gamma(e).$$

In particular, we see that if we want to generalize formula (4) to non–trivial classes $\beta \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}, \mathbb{Q})$, the localization formula (3) tells us that it suffices to compute the equivariant Euler classes of the restrictions of the equivariant bundles on $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ representing the class $\beta$, combine this class with the equivariant Euler class of the virtual normal bundle, and integrate over $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$.

## 5. Cotangent line bundles and their restrictions to the fixed point components

In this section we will study how pull–backs of certain classes $\beta \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m})$ localize to fixed–point components $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0,m} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ be the universal curve, and let $x_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0,m}$ be the marked point sections ($i = 1, \dots, m$). We will denote by $\mathbb{L}_i \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ the

$i^{\text{th}}$ universal cotangent line, that is the pull–back by $x_i$ of the relative cotangent bundle $K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0,m}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}}$:

$$\mathbb{L}_i := x_i^* \left( K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0,m}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}} \right).$$

For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves here to maximal sums of the line bundles $\mathbb{L}_i$, i.e. to those of which the rank is equal to $\dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m} = m-3$. By Kontsevich's theorem ([Kon92]), we know that in this case

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}} e\left(\mathbb{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L}_m^{\oplus d_m}\right) = \frac{(m-3)!}{d_1! \cdots d_m!} \tag{5}$$

that is the Euler class of this bundle is Poincaré dual to $(m-3)!/(d_1!\cdots d_m!)$ points; it is exactly this kind of classes $\beta$ we need in order to compute quantum products of more than two factors (see equation (2)). Note that the $d_i$ fulfill the equation $d_1 + \cdots + d_m = m-3$.

**Lemma 3.** *The map $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ forgetting the map to $X_\Sigma$ is equivariant with respect to the induced $T_N$–action on $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ and the trivial action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$.*

*Proof.* Since the $T_N$–action on $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ is induced from the action on the image of the curve in $X_\Sigma$ (and which is discarded by the map $\pi$), this is obvious. ☐

**Corollary 4.** *The pull–back by $\pi$ of any bundle $E$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ is an equivariant bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$ with trivial fiber action.*

**Remark 5.** *Corollary 4 implies in particular, that the equivariant and the non–equivariant Euler classes of such pull–back bundles coincide; we will therefore use them interchangeably in these cases.*

**Lemma 6.** *Let $E \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ be a vector bundle of $\operatorname{rk} E = m-3$. If $\pi(\mathcal{M}_\Gamma) \neq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ then $e\left(\pi^* E|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma}\right) = 0$.*

*Proof.* If $\pi(\mathcal{M}_\Gamma) \neq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ then the codimension of $\pi(\mathcal{M}_\Gamma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ is at least one. Therefore

$$e\left(\pi^* E|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma}\right) = \pi^* e\left(E|_{\pi(\mathcal{M}_\Gamma)}\right) = \pi^*(0) = 0,$$

since $\operatorname{rk} E > \dim \pi(\mathcal{M}_\Gamma)$. ☐

The Lemma implies that if $e\left(\pi^* E|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma}\right) \neq 0$ for a bundle $E$ with $\operatorname{rk} E = m-3$, the graph $\Gamma$ contains only one vertex $v_\Gamma$ that corresponds to a stable component under the projection $\pi$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$. In other words, if we fix $v_\Gamma$ as root of the graph $\Gamma$, all its branches contain at most one marked point. We will call such graphs $\Gamma$ *simple*.

**Theorem 7.** *Let $\Gamma$ be a simple $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$–graph, and let $v_\Gamma$ be the unique stable vertex of $\Gamma$ and $\tilde{m} = \deg(v_\Gamma)$ be its degree. We will choose the indices of the marked points $\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}$ such that $\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_m$ are mapped by $\pi$ to the marked points $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$, respectively.*

*Furthermore, let $\mathcal{L}_i \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}$ be the cotangent lines to the marked points of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} e\left(\pi^*\left(\mathbb{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L}_m^{\oplus d_m}\right)\Big|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma}\right) e\left(\mathcal{L}_{m+1}^{\oplus d_{m+1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{m}}^{\oplus d_{\tilde{m}}}\right) \\ = e\left(\mathcal{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_m^{\oplus d_m} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{m}}^{\oplus d_{\tilde{m}}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

*whenever $d_1 + \cdots + d_m = m-3$ and $d_1 + \cdots + d_{\tilde{m}} = \tilde{m} - 3$.*

*Proof.* First of all notice that $\pi$ factors through the projection map $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}$ to the factor corresponding to the vertex $v_\Gamma$. Hence it suffices to consider the behaviour of cotangent line bundles under $\pi_{\tilde{m},m} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$, forgetting the last $(\tilde{m} - m)$ points. This map $\pi_{\tilde{m},m}$ again factors into

$$\pi_{\tilde{m},m} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{m}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{m}-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{m+1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}.$$

We will prove the statement for the map $\pi_{m+1}$ — the Theorem then follows by induction and Kontsevich's Theorem (equation (5)).

So we want to show that (in the case $d_1 + \cdots + d_m = m - 3$)

$$(7) \quad e\left(\pi_m^*\left(\mathcal{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_m^{\oplus d_m}\right) \oplus \mathcal{L}_{m+1}\right) \\ = e\left(\mathcal{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_m^{\oplus d_m} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{m+1}\right).$$

It is well–known (see e.g. [HM98]) that

$$e(\mathcal{L}_i) = e(\pi_{m+1}^*(\mathcal{L}_i)) + D_i$$

where $D_i \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ is the divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m+1}$ where the $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}$–bubble contains the marked points $x_i$ and $x_{m+1}$. Now note that $\mathcal{L}_{m+1}|_{D_i}$ is constant for any $i = 1, \ldots, m$, hence $e(\mathcal{L}_{m+1}) \cdot D_i = 0$. This yields equation (7). □

## 6. THE FORMULA FOR THE GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANTS

The next Corollary summarizes what we have shown so far:

**Corollary 8.** *Let $E$ be the vector bundle $E = \mathbb{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L}_m^{\oplus d_m}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,m}$ such that $d_1 + \cdots + d_m = m - 3$. Let $\beta = e(E)$ be the Euler class of $E$. Then*

$$\Phi_{0,m}^{X_\Sigma,A}(\beta; Z^{l_1}, \cdots, Z^{l_m}) = \sum_{\Gamma \ simple} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}_\Gamma|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma} \frac{e\left(\pi^* E|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma}\right) \prod_{j,k=1}^{m,n} \left(\omega_k^{\sigma(j)}\right)^{l_{j,k}}}{e^{T_N}\left(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma^{virt}\right)}.$$

We will now compute the integral over the fixed–point components to obtain an explicit formula for these Gromov–Witten invariants.

**Theorem 9.** *Let $\Gamma$ be a simple $\mathcal{M}_{0,m}(X_\Sigma, A)$–graph, and let $v_\Gamma$ be the unique stable vertex of $\Gamma$. Let $r : \mathrm{Vert}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the map defined by*

$$r(v) := \begin{cases} m-3 & \text{if } v = v_\Gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*As before let $d_i$ be non–negative integers such that $d_1 + \cdots + d_m = m - 3$. If we let $E = \mathbb{L}_1^{\oplus d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{L}_m^{\oplus d_m}$, then the following formula holds:*

$$(8) \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma} \frac{e^{T_N}\left(\pi^*(E)|_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma}\right)}{e^{T_N}\left(\mathcal{N}_\Gamma\right)} = \frac{(\deg(v_\Gamma) - 3)!}{d_1! \cdots d_m! (\deg(v_\Gamma) - m)!} \cdot \prod_{e \in \mathrm{Edge}(\Gamma)} \Lambda_\Gamma(e) \cdot \\ \cdot \left[\prod_{t,s} \prod_{v \in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)} \frac{\left(\omega_{total}^{\sigma(v)}\right)^{t-1} \cdot \left(\omega_{total}^{F(v)}\right)^{t+s-r(v)-3}}{\prod_{i=1}^t \omega_{F_i(v)}}\right].$$

*Proof.* By Kontsevich's Formula (5), it suffices to consider $d_1 = m - 3$, $d_2 = \ldots = d_m = 0$. In this case, by Theorem 7, the left hand side of (8) equals

$$\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma} e\left(\mathcal{L}_{1,v_\Gamma}^{\oplus m-3}\right) \cdot \prod_{t,s} \prod_{v \in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)} \left(\omega_{\mathrm{total}}^{\sigma(v)}\right)^{t-1} \cdot \omega(v)\right] \cdot \prod_{e \in \mathrm{Edge}(\Gamma)} \Lambda_\Gamma(e).$$

We therefore have to prove that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_\Gamma} e\left(\mathcal{L}_{1,v_\Gamma}^{\oplus m-3}\right) \cdot \prod_{v\in \mathrm{Vert}(\Gamma)} \omega(v) =$$

$$= \frac{(\deg(v_\Gamma)-3)!}{(m-3)!(\deg(v_\Gamma)-m)!} \prod_{t,s} \prod_{v\in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)} \frac{\left(\omega_{\text{total}}^{F(v)}\right)^{t+s-r(v)-3}}{\prod_{i=1}^t \omega_{F_i(v)}}.$$

For the case that $v \in \mathrm{Vert}_{t,s}(\Gamma)$ is different from $v_\Gamma$, we have shown in the proof of Proposition 2 (see [Spi00]) that

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\deg(v)}} \omega(v) = \frac{\left(\omega_{\text{total}}^{F(v)}\right)^{t+s-3}}{\prod_{i=1}^t \omega_{F_i(v)}}.$$

Hence we only have to consider the case when $v = v_\Gamma$; since it is very similar to the previous case, we will only outline its proof. As in [Spi00], let $P_n(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{\sum_i \tilde{d}_i = n} \prod_j x_j^{\tilde{d}_j}$. Let $t := \mathrm{val}(v_\Gamma)$, $\tilde{m} := \deg(v_\Gamma)$ and $r := m-3$. We will also write $F_j$ instead of $F_j(v_\Gamma)$. Note that for the vertex $v_\Gamma$, we always have $\tilde{m} - r - 3 \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}} \omega(v_\Gamma) e\left(\mathcal{L}_1^{\oplus r}\right) &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}} e\left(\mathcal{L}_1^{\oplus r}\right) \prod_{j=1}^t \frac{1}{\omega_{F_j} - e_{F_j}} \\
&= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\tilde{m}}} e\left(\mathcal{L}_1^{\oplus r}\right) \prod_{j=1}^t \frac{1}{\omega_{F_j}} \sum_{i=0}^\infty \left(\frac{e_{F_j}}{\omega_{F_j}}\right)^i \\
&\overset{(5)}{=} \prod_{j=1}^t \frac{1}{\omega_{F_j}} \sum_{\sum \tilde{d}_i = \tilde{m}-r-3} \frac{(\tilde{m}-3)!}{\tilde{d}_1! \cdots \tilde{d}_t! r!} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{F_1}}\right)^{\tilde{d}_1} \cdots \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{F_t}}\right)^{\tilde{d}_t} \\
&= \frac{(\tilde{m}-3)!}{r!(\tilde{m}-r-3)!} \cdot \frac{\left(\omega_{\text{total}}^{F(v_\Gamma)}\right)^{\tilde{m}-r-3}}{\prod_{i=1}^t \omega_{F_i(v_\Gamma)}}
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

## 7. Example: The quantum cohomology of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$

In this section we want to illustrate how useful the extension of the formula to the case where $\beta = \mathrm{P.D.}(\mathrm{pt})$ really is for the computation of the quantum cohomology ring of a toric manifold.

In [ST97], Siebert and Tian have shown that if the ordinary cohomolgy ring of a symplectic manifold $X$ is given by

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[Z_1, \dots, Z_k]/\langle R_1, \dots, R_l\rangle$$

where $R_1, \dots, R_l$ are relations, then the quantum ring is given by

$$QH^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[Z_1, \dots, Z_k]/\langle R_1^*, \dots, R_l^*\rangle$$

where $R_i^*$ are the relations $R_i$, but evaluated with respect to the quantum product instead of the cup product.

Let us consider toric manifolds of the form $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$. In [CMR01], Costa and Miró–Roig have studied the three–point Gromov–Witten invariants of these manifolds and announced that they will derive the quantum cohomology ring of these manifolds in an upcoming paper. We have chosen the same example to illustrate how the formula derived in this note can make computations much easier.

We will recall some properties of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ (for more details see [CMR01]). Its cohomology ring is given by

$$H^*(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[Z_1, \dots, Z_{r+2}] / \langle L_1, L_3, \dots, L_{r+2}, P_1, P_2 \rangle .$$

where the relations are given by $L_1 = Z_1 - Z_2$, $L_3 = Z_3 - Z_{r+2}, \dots, L_{r-1} = Z_{r-1} - Z_{r+2}$, $L_r = Z_2 + Z_r - Z_{r+2}$, $L_{r+1} = Z_2 + Z_{r+1} - Z_{r+2}$, $P_1 = Z_1 Z_2$, $P_2 = Z_3 \cdots Z_{r+2}$.

To see this, consider the fan whose one–dimensional cones are (with respect to some basis $e_1, \dots, e_r$ in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^r$) $v_1 = e_1$, $v_2 = -e_1 + e_{r-1} + e_r$, $v_3 = e_2, \dots, v_{r+1} = e_r$ and $v_{r+2} = -e_2 - \cdots - e_r$, and whose set of primitive collections is given by

$$\mathfrak{P} = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_3, \dots, v_{r+2}\}\} .$$

In [CMR01, Proposition 3.6], Costa and Miró–Roig obtain (in what follows we will freely use their notation)

$$Z_1 \star Z_1 = (Z_{r+2} \star Z_{r+2} - 2 Z_1 \star Z_{r+2}) \sum_{i \geq 1} q_1^i . \tag{9}$$

Hence, we will only have to compute the quantum product $Z_3 \star \cdots \star Z_{r+2}$ to get a presentation of the quantum cohomology ring. To do so, we will have to compute the Gromov–Witten invariants of the form

$$\Phi_{0,r+1}^{a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2}(\mathrm{P.\,D.}(\mathrm{pt}); Z_3, \dots, Z_{r+2}, \gamma). \tag{10}$$

**Lemma 10.** *If $b \neq 1$, then all invariants of the form (10) are zero.*

*Proof.* Suppose that for given $a$, $b$ and $\gamma$, the invariant (10) is non–zero. Since $\langle c_1(X_\Sigma), a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 \rangle = 2rb$, we must have $2r + \deg\gamma = 2rb + 2r$. However, the real dimension of $X_\Sigma$ is equal to $2r$, hence $0 \leq \deg\gamma \leq 2r$, and therefore $b \leq 1$.

Now suppose $b$ is zero and therefore $\deg\gamma = 0$ as well, that is $\gamma$ is a multiple of the trivial class $1 \in H^0(X_\Sigma)$. If $a = 0$ as well, then the invariant is zero since it just equals the cup product of the cohomology classes $Z_3, \dots, Z_{r+2}$. So suppose $a > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_{0,r+1}^{a\lambda_1}(\mathrm{P.\,D.}(\mathrm{pt}); Z_3, \dots, Z_{r+2}, 1) = \\ &= \Phi_{0,r}^{a\lambda_1}(\mathrm{P.\,D.}(\mathrm{pt}); Z_3, \dots, Z_{r-1}, Z_{r+2}, Z_r, Z_{r+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 + \cdots + a_{r-2} = a \\ j_1, \dots, j_{r-3}}} \Phi_{0,3}^{a_1\lambda_1}(Z_3, Z_{r+1}, \gamma_{j_1}) \Phi_{0,3}^{a_2\lambda_1}(Z_4, \gamma_{j_1}^\vee, \gamma_{j_2}) \cdots \Phi_{0,3}^{a_{r-2}}(Z_{r+2}, Z_r, \gamma_{j_{r-3}}^\vee), \end{aligned}$$

where the $\gamma_{j_i}$ run over a basis of $H^*(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. Since $a > 0$, at least one of $a_i$ has to be positive. On the other hand, we have

$$\langle Z_3, \lambda_1 \rangle = \ldots = \langle Z_{r-1}, \lambda_1 \rangle = \langle Z_{r+2}, \lambda_1 \rangle = 0.$$

So as soon as $a_i > 0$ the corresponding three–point invariant in the sum above is zero. This proves the lemma. □

**Lemma 11.** *If $a > 0$, then all invariants of the form (10) are zero.*

*Proof.* Suppose that for given $a$, $b$ and $\gamma$, the invariant (10) is non–zero. Then, by the previous Lemma, $b = 1$. Hence we have to consider homology classes $a\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, and $\gamma$ being a multiple of the class of top degree, say $Z_1 Z_3 \cdots Z_r Z_{r+2}$.

The 1–skeleton of the moment polytope of $X_\Sigma$ has the following edges:

1. for $3 \leq t < s \leq r+2$, edges between $\sigma_{1,t}$ and $\sigma_{1,s}$, and between $\sigma_{2,t}$ and $\sigma_{2,s}$, all having homology class $\lambda_2$;
2. for $3 \leq t \leq r-1$ or $t = r+2$, an edge between $\sigma_{1,t}$ and $\sigma_{2,t}$ of homology class $\lambda_1$;
3. for $t = r, r+1$, an edge between between $\sigma_{1,t}$ and $\sigma_{2,t}$ of homology class $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

The reader will now easily convince himself that there is no simple graph $\Gamma$ in this class such that $Z_3, \ldots, Z_{r-1}$, $Z_{r+1}$, $Z_{r+1}$, $Z_{r+2}$ and $Z_1 Z_3 \cdots Z_r, Z_{r+2}$ all have non–zero equivariant Euler class on $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$, unless $a = 0$. Finally note that $Z_r = Z_{r+1}$ as cohomology classes, which finishes the proof. $\square$

**Lemma 12.** $\Phi^{\lambda_2}_{0,r+1}(\mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}; Z_3, \ldots, Z_{r-1}, Z_{r+1}, Z_{r+1}, Z_{r+2}, Z_1 Z_3 \cdots Z_r Z_{r+2}) = 1$.

*Proof.* The only simple graph $\Gamma$ such that $Z_3, \ldots, Z_{r-1}$, $Z_{r+1}$ $Z_{r+1}$, $Z_{r+2}$ and $Z_1 Z_3 \cdots Z_r Z_{r+2}$ all have non–zero equivariant Euler class on $\Gamma$ is the one with one edge between $\sigma_{1,r+1}$ and $\sigma_{1,r}$ where all but the last marked point are at $\sigma_{1,r}$. Applying the formula derived in this note yields the following for the Gromov–Witten invariant $\Phi := \Phi^{\lambda_2}_{0,r+1}(\mathrm{P.\,D.(pt)}; Z_3, \ldots, Z_{r-1}, Z_{r+1}, Z_{r+1}, Z_{r+2}, Z_1 Z_3 \cdots Z_r Z_{r+2})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= -\frac{\omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{2,r+1}} \omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,3}} \cdots \omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,r}} \omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}} \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,3}} \cdots \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r-1}} \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right)^2 \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}}}{\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}} \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right)^2 \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}} \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r}}\right) \omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}}} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{1}{\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,3}} \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,3}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right) \cdots \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r-1}} \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r-1}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right)} \\
&= \frac{\omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,3}} \cdots \omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,r-1}} \omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}}}{\left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,3}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right) \cdots \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r-1}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right) \left(\omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+2}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}\right)} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\omega^{\sigma_{1,r+1}}_{\sigma_{1,i}} = \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,i}} - \omega^{\sigma_{1,r}}_{\sigma_{1,r+1}}$ for $i = 3, \ldots, r-1, r+2$ by a similar argument as in [CMR01, Lemma 2.1], that is by applying [Spi99a, Lemma 6.7]. $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *The quantum cohomology ring of* $X_\Sigma = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ *(for* $r \geq 3$*) is given by*

$$QH^*(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \ldots, Z_{r+2}, q_1, q_2] / \left\langle L_1, L_3, \ldots, L_{r-1}, R_1^*, R_2^* \right\rangle,$$

*where the relations* $R_1^\star$ *and* $R_2^\star$ *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^\star &= Z_1 \star Z_2 - Z_r \star Z_{r+1} q_1 \\
R_2^\star &= Z_3 \star \cdots \star Z_{r+2} - q_2,
\end{aligned}$$

*that is this quantum ring coincides with Batyrev's ring in* [Bat93].

*Proof.* The relation $Z_3 \star \cdots \star Z_{r+2} = q_2$ follows directly from the previous three Lemmata. Now let us consider the other multiplicative relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 \star Z_2 &= Z_1 \star Z_1 \quad \text{since } Z_1 = Z_2 \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 1} (Z_{r+2} \star Z_{r+2} - 2 Z_1 \star Z_{r+2}) q_1^i \quad \text{by equation (9)} \\
&= (Z_r \star Z_{r+1} - Z_1 \star Z_2) \sum_{i \geq 1} q_1^i \quad \text{by the linear relations.}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$Z_1 \star Z_2 \sum_{i \geq 0} q_1^i = Z_r \star Z_{r+1} \sum_{i \geq 1} q_1^i,$$

and by comparing the coefficients of the $q_1^i$, the relation follows. ☐

**Remark 14.** *Note that although we derive the same presentation for the quantum cohomology ring as the one stated by Batyrev in* [Bat93]*, the Gromov–Witten invariants that enter as structure constants into the computation of the quantum products are different from those numbers considered by Batyrev.*

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Laura Costa and Rosa M. Miró–Roig for having sent and explained their paper [CMR01] to me. I also want to thank the Centro de Analíse Matemática, Geometria e Sistemas Dinâmicos at Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisboa, for its hospitality.

## References

[Bat93] Victor V. Batyrev. Quantum cohomology rings of toric manifolds. *Astérisque*, 218:9–34, 1993.

[Beh97] Kai Behrend. Gromov–Witten invariants in algebraic geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 127:601–627, 1997.

[CMR01] Laura Costa and Rosa Miró-Roig. GW-invariants and quantum products with infinitely many quantum corrections. Preprint, to appear in forum mathematicum, Universitat de Barcelona, June 2001.

[Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties.* Number 131 in Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.

[GP99] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande. Localization of virtual classes. *Invent. math.*, 135(2):487–518, 1999.

[HM98] Joe Harris and Ian Morrison. *Moduli of curves.* Number 187 in Graduate Texts in Math. Springer Verlag, 1998.

[Kon92] Maxim Kontsevich. Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147:1–23, 1992.

[Oda88] Tadao Oda. *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry.* Number 15 in Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge. Springer Verlag, 1988.

[RT95] Yongbin Ruan and Gang Tian. A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 42(2):259–367, 1995.

[Spi99a] Holger Spielberg. A formula for the Gromov–Witten invariants of toric varieties. PhD thesis/Preprint 1999/11, IRMA, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, February 1999.

[Spi99b] Holger Spielberg. The Gromov–Witten invariants of symplectic toric manifolds, and their quantum cohomology ring. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, 329(8):699–704, 1999.

[Spi00] Holger Spielberg. The Gromov–Witten invariants of symplectic toric manifolds. Preprint math.ag/0006156 at arxiv.org, June 2000.

[ST97] Bernd Siebert and Gang Tian. On quantum cohomology rings of Fano manifolds and a formula of Vafa and Intriligator. *Asian J. Math.*, 1(4):679–695, 1997.

[Wit91] Edward Witten. Two–dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli spaces. *Surveys Diff. Geom.*, 1:243–310, 1991.

Centro de Analíse Matemática, Geometria e Sistemas Dinâmicos, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
*E-mail address*: `Spielberg@member.ams.org`